

against interpretation by susan sontag

Against Interpretation is a seminal essay by Susan Sontag, first published in 1964. This work has become a cornerstone in the field of literary and cultural criticism. Sontag challenges the prevailing tendencies of art interpretation, arguing for a more visceral, immediate engagement with art rather than an intellectualized analysis that often strips it of its emotive power. In this article, we will explore the major themes, arguments, and implications of Sontag's essay, as well as its relevance to contemporary discourse in art and criticism.

Context and Background

Susan Sontag: A Brief Biography

Susan Sontag was an American writer, filmmaker, philosopher, and political activist. Born in 1933 in New York City, she became one of the most prominent intellectual figures of the 20th century. Her essays, novels, and critiques often addressed issues related to art, culture, and society. "Against Interpretation" reflects her early thoughts on the way art is perceived and critiqued.

The Cultural Climate of the 1960s

The 1960s were characterized by significant social and cultural upheaval. The art world was undergoing transformations with the rise of modernism and postmodernism. During this period, intellectual discourse often focused on interpretation, analysis, and the deconstruction of meaning. Sontag's essay represents a reaction against this trend, advocating for a more direct engagement with art.

Key Themes in "Against Interpretation"

The Limitations of Interpretation

One of the central arguments of Sontag's essay is that interpretation can limit and diminish the experience of art. She asserts that:

- Interpretation often reduces the artwork to mere symbols or concepts, stripping it of its original emotional impact.
- The emphasis on interpretation leads to a distance between the viewer and the artwork, creating an analytical barrier that hinders genuine appreciation.

- Art should be experienced directly, with all its sensory and emotional qualities, rather than being filtered through a lens of intellectual analysis.

Sontag argues that interpretation often prioritizes the intellectual over the aesthetic, which can lead to a disconnection from the actual experience of the artwork.

Art as Experience

Sontag advocates for an appreciation of art that emphasizes the sensory experience. She believes that art should be engaged with on an emotional level, urging audiences to immerse themselves fully in the experience rather than dissecting it. The following points summarize her views on art as experience:

1. Direct Engagement: Art should be experienced directly, allowing the viewer to connect with the emotions and sensations it evokes.
2. Emotional Resonance: The emotional impact of art is often lost when it is subjected to analysis. Sontag emphasizes the importance of feeling as a primary mode of engagement.
3. Multiplicity of Meanings: Art is inherently complex, and its meanings can be multifaceted. Sontag argues that a singular interpretation can obscure its richness.

The Role of the Critic

Sontag's critique extends to the role of the critic in the art world. She challenges the traditional authority of critics who often prioritize interpretation over experience. Key insights include:

- Critics should facilitate a deeper engagement with art, rather than imposing rigid interpretations that can alienate audiences.
- The critic's role should be to enhance the viewer's experience, encouraging them to explore their emotional responses rather than dictating what an artwork "means."
- Criticism should celebrate the ambiguity and complexity of art, allowing for a range of interpretations and experiences.

Implications of Sontag's Arguments

Impact on Contemporary Art Criticism

Sontag's essay has had a lasting impact on the field of art criticism. Her call for a more experiential approach resonates with contemporary movements that emphasize viewer engagement. Some implications include:

- Shift Towards Experience: Many contemporary critics and artists advocate for an experiential approach, focusing on how art feels rather than what it signifies.

- Interdisciplinary Connections: Sontag's emphasis on the emotional experience of art has led to connections with disciplines such as psychology, philosophy, and even neuroscience, exploring how art affects human perception and emotion.
- Recognition of Subjectivity: There is a growing acceptance of subjective interpretations in art criticism, allowing for diverse perspectives and experiences to coexist.

Influence on Other Disciplines

Sontag's ideas extend beyond art criticism; they have influenced various fields, including literature, film studies, and cultural theory. Her insights can be seen in:

1. Literary Criticism: The move towards reader-response theory emphasizes the reader's experience over authorial intent, aligning with Sontag's views.
2. Film Studies: The focus on cinematic experience and the emotional impact of films has gained prominence, with critics advocating for an experiential approach to film analysis.
3. Cultural Studies: Sontag's ideas have encouraged scholars to explore the cultural significance of art in relation to social and emotional contexts.

Critiques of Sontag's Position

While Sontag's arguments have been influential, they are not without criticism. Some critiques include:

- Overgeneralization: Critics argue that Sontag's dismissal of interpretation overlooks the value of critical analysis in understanding complex artworks.
- Neglect of Historical Context: Some contend that her approach does not adequately consider the historical and cultural contexts that shape art and its meanings.
- Risk of Subjectivity: There is concern that an emphasis on personal experience can lead to overly subjective interpretations that disregard broader cultural significance.

Conclusion

In "Against Interpretation," Susan Sontag presents a compelling argument for a more direct engagement with art, emphasizing the importance of emotional experience over intellectual analysis. Her critique of traditional interpretations has had a profound impact on the fields of art criticism, literature, and cultural studies, encouraging a shift towards a more inclusive and subjective understanding of art. Despite criticisms of her position, Sontag's essay remains a vital text, inviting readers and viewers to rediscover the immediate and visceral qualities of art. As we navigate an increasingly complex cultural landscape, Sontag's call for a return to experience over interpretation serves as a valuable reminder of the power of art to evoke emotion, provoke thought, and inspire connection.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the main thesis of Susan Sontag's 'Against Interpretation'?

The main thesis of 'Against Interpretation' is that the act of interpretation often detracts from the experience of art, reducing complex works to mere concepts and ideas, rather than appreciating their aesthetic and emotional power.

How does Susan Sontag differentiate between interpretation and experience?

Sontag argues that interpretation is an analytical process that seeks to categorize and explain art, while experience is about direct engagement with the artwork, allowing for an emotional and sensory response that transcends intellectual analysis.

What does Sontag mean by 'the death of art' in her essay?

In 'Against Interpretation', Sontag suggests that overly analytical approaches to art can lead to its 'death' by stripping it of its immediacy and emotional resonance, replacing genuine engagement with detached critique.

How does Sontag view the role of the critic in relation to art?

Sontag critiques the traditional role of the critic, suggesting that critics often impose their interpretations on art, which can limit its meaning and reduce the viewer's own personal experience and understanding.

What are some examples Sontag uses to illustrate her points in the essay?

Sontag references various forms of art, including literature, film, and visual arts, discussing how they are often over-analyzed and interpreted, diminishing their intrinsic value and the emotional impact they can have on the audience.

What impact has 'Against Interpretation' had on contemporary art criticism?

Sontag's essay has significantly influenced contemporary art criticism by encouraging a shift toward more experiential and subjective approaches, prompting critics and audiences alike to engage more deeply and personally with art.

How does Sontag's essay relate to postmodern thought?

'Against Interpretation' aligns with postmodern thought by challenging the notion of a singular, authoritative interpretation, advocating instead for a plurality of meanings and personal responses to art.

What is Sontag's view on the relationship between art and meaning?

Sontag believes that the relationship between art and meaning should not be overly intellectualized; instead, art should evoke feelings and experiences that may not always be easily articulated or defined.

What are the implications of Sontag's ideas for audiences consuming art today?

Sontag's ideas encourage audiences to approach art with openness and curiosity, prioritizing emotional engagement and personal interpretation over academic or critical frameworks that may limit their experience.

[Against Interpretation By Susan Sontag](#)

Against Interpretation By Susan Sontag

Related Articles

- [algebra 2 regents formula sheet](#)
- [advanced engineering mathematics solution manual kreyszig](#)
- [activity 15 the deep dive answer key](#)

[Back to Home](#)